

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/936,817	Applicant(s) DRUITT ET AL.	
	Examiner LIEN TM NGO	Art Unit 3727	

All Participants:

(1) LIEN TM NGO.

(2) Edward Wise (attorney).
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 8 June 2004
Time: ____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Wise called to have an attention with examiner that the examiner's amendment dated 3/11/04 have a mistake, in which in claim 1, line 28, "the end of" should be changed to -- the free end of --. Examiner agreed to sent a supplemental examiner's amendment to make the correction. By the way, another mistake occurs in the examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: claims 1-10, 12, 13, 16-23. 25, 26 and 29 are allowed, but it is not 1-13, 16-23, 25, 26 and 29 because claim 11 has been canceled. .